

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,155	03/05/2001	Richard Gary McDaniel	00 P 7500 US01	5378
Siemens Corpo	7590 07/17/2007 ration	EXAMINER		
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			HARTMAN JR, RONALD D	
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 0883	0		2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/809,155	MCDANIEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ap	oril 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•	ov the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		4 \ □ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3	(DTO 442)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 09/809,155

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: The species are independent or distinct because the technical features of one are not required by the other, and vice versa.

Independent claim 1 is directed towards a computer-programming method wherein a demonstration tool includes a library of widgets, and editor and an inference engine, whereby a control program and a user interface of the control program are programmed at the same time, wherein code is created using the inference engine, the code being used to control an automation process.

Independent claim 8 is directed towards a computer-programming product for performing the method described in Independent claim 1.

Newly filed Independent claim 20 is directed towards a computer-programming method for programming a control program and a user interface at the same time, wherein the programming includes demonstrating the behavior of widgets.

It is noted that newly filed Independent claim 20 is much broader than Independent claims 1 and 8, and appears to be generic to claims 1 and 8. However, newly filed dependent claims 21-23 present features that are not disclosed by any of the other pending dependent claims (2-7 and 9-19). Therefore, dependent claims 2-7 and 9-19 represent one species of a computer-programming method/system and dependent claims 21-23 represent another species of a programming method. That is, a feature that is required by the computer-programming method of dependent claim 21 (a complex behavior requiring more than one pair of state and outcome state) is not required by the methods disclosed in pending claims 2-7 and 9-19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, newly filed Independent claim 20 is believed to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/809,155

Art Unit: 2121

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D. Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 11:00 - 8:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald D Hartman Jr.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2121

RD Hates

July 5, 2007

RDH

X ROH